

**Subject:** Pri: HIGH [Winnipeg Metropolitan Region's (WMR) Plan20-50]

**From:** Pierre Attallah

**Date:** 2024-08-05, 10:17

**To:** "Logan.Oxenham@yourmanitoba.ca" <Logan.Oxenham@yourmanitoba.ca>

**CC:** "mb-winnipeg@action4canada.ca" <mb-winnipeg@action4canada.ca>, "Sala, Adrien (NDP)" <adrien.sala@mbleg.ca>

**PRIORITY: HIGH**

Dear **Logan Oxenham**, Kirkfield Park, MLA (Manitoba),

I represent a rapidly growing number of people who are signing a petition in opposition to Winnipeg Metropolitan Region's (WMR) Plan20-50 as it is currently written. This is within your jurisdiction because it is coming from the Province of Manitoba of which you sit on as a MLA.

The WMR, an unelected, unaccountable private corporation under the Provincial **Planning Act** has been mandated to make a regional plan in line with provincial goals. However, on [page 36 of Plan20-50](#) (annotated version) in the section "Prevailing Law," the WMR states in a recently amended version that they wish to be more powerful than **Federal Law**. This includes our constitutional freedoms, etc. Their plan20-50 is unconstitutional and has no regard for our constitutional freedoms.

We think the WMR needs you to remind them that they do not have the jurisdiction to do this or make a By-law with this wording. The Minister in charge of overseeing this plan is:

**Ian Bushie**

Minister of Municipal and Northern Relations

Minister of Indigenous Economic Development

Ph: 2049453788

Email: minmnr@manitoba.ca

A public hearing was held at Canad Inns Polo Park Thursday July 25, 2025. At which, there was not enough space in the room because hundreds of people showed up in opposition. I represented an additional ~250 people who signed my petition in opposition. The hearing started at 3:00p.m. and lasted ~5 hours with mostly opposition.

Earlier in the process of Plan20-50 we were told that we could ask questions at the Public Hearings. However, the rules have changed and **questions were not answered at Public Hearing #1**. The WMR has also added recently to their website a new statement that they don't want people who spoke at Public Hearing #1 to speak at Public Hearing #2, further changing their rules as they go. This is not backed up by any legislation and further violates our Constitutional Rights and Freedom of Speech. **What can you do to ensure our rights are not violated in this way and we are allowed to express opposition at Public Hearing #2?** We have new facts to put on the record.

Further, in an attempt to suppress opposition the WMR has used **Deep Fake AI** technology to edit out background audio opposition statements creating a gross misrepresentation of the magnitude of the opposition for the public record. **What can you do to stop the use of Deep Fake AI being used in this way at any Public Hearing?**

At the Hearing Winnipeg City employee [Michael Pyl](#) (seen in this video) discussed the future closure of Pembina Hwy and how it would negatively affect local businesses. What he didn't discuss but is also in the plan is restriction to the following major roadways into and out of Winnipeg at or near the Perimeter Hwy ([page 51](#) [annotated version] and section 2.4.2):

**WMR proposing priority access for the following list of Metro Roads:**

1. Portage Ave (TransCanada #1 east and west side of the city perimeter),
2. Lagimodiere (59 hwy north & south of the city),
3. Main St,
4. McPhillips St,
5. McGillvary Blvd,
6. Dugald Rd,
7. Winnipeg Perimeter hwy (101),
8. Hwy 59 north and south of Winnipeg,
9. Pembina Hwy,
10. Hwy 3,
11. Hwy 15,
12. Hwy 206 to Oakbank,
13. Hwy 9,
14. Hwy 8,
15. Hwy 6, and
16. Hwy 7.

Plan20-50 also discusses closing water wells in rural MB, forcing density minimums for housing on private property. These minimums are proposed in a deceptive way. On [Schedule A-3 page 48](#) the density minimums are on *Greenfields* which sounds innocent. However, when one looks **two layers deep** into the Glossary a *Greenfield* is defined in a way that it could be someone's backyard. I find that deceptive and believe the members on the Board of the WMR are all being negligent to support such a plan without fully understanding it. Scott Gillingham, Winnipeg Mayor and on the WMR Board of Directors did not display understanding of Plan20-50 at the meeting based on his comments at Public Hearing #1.

In addition, I invited the publicly funded CBC news media to attend and cover this major event and they did not do their job. They did not show up. How can we have democratic process without informing the public about such proposed major changes and allowing the people to provide input and feedback at Public Hearings???

**Can you get the CBC to announce publicly the next Public Hearing #2?** I Also ask that **you inform your constituents through radio, TV immediately** or have Public Hearing #2 postponed

until you have a chance to inform everyone.

The next Public Hearing is:

[Public Hearing #2](#): August 8, 2024

**Niverville**

Niverville Heritage Centre

100 Heritage Trail

**2:00 p.m.**

Please also find my [Letter of Opposition](#) (and petition) that I submitted on the record for review by the Honourable Ian Bushie.

These dramatic changes to our lifestyle will affect you and your family too. It will affect everyone in the area except Ian Bushie because he appears to live outside the area that he plans to affect. Everyone in our constituency will be affected if this passes. As a public servant you have a duty to protect them from negligence of this magnitude. We cannot wait to see if Ian Bushie will reject this plan. It is so bad that it needs to be **STOPPED** now.

**Can you notify everyone on your mailing list about Public Hearing #2 and announce it on TV?**

You may also oppose the WMR Plan20-50. Since this is to advance a global agenda orchestrated by a foreign unelected body, we highly recommend that you do because this will interfere with your ability to represent your constituents and protect our **God-given** inalienable rights. This could transfer control to the unelected WMR. In addition to this, what more can you do to help **STOP** this?

My complete list of complaints against this plan is longer than this email. I rebut all 159 pages. I feel we need a completely different plan and a completely different WMR Board of Directors.

My city councilor Shawn Dobson is opposed to Plan20-50 as he currently understands it. **Are you opposed to it too?**

Below are a few additional questions that the petitioner people have that the WMR Board refused to answer at Public Hearing #1. We look forward to your responses.

1. Have you read the entire Plan20-50?
2. In regards to **Schedule A-3** Density Minimums and Targets as it is currently written, what is your understanding of "greenfield?"
3. Further to **Schedule A-3**, if Plan20-50 were to become law, does that mean that after 3 years for the compliance deadline that homeowners could be at risk of being in violation of the law if they do not have the required minimum number of "units per acre?"

4. In regards to **Schedule A-6** Regional Transportation Infrastructure Network and **section 2.4.2**, why does the WMR need "to protect metro roads for priority access?"
5. How would a "non-priority citizen" get access to a metro road listed in **schedule A-6** listed above in the "**List of Metro Roads**"?
6. In regards to Section 1.10.1 (a), What products are being considered for rationing or "rationalization?"
7. Why have we not heard about these proposed major changes to by-law from you?
8. Are you aware of the sections of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms that this plan violates?

It would be great to get your responses by **August 7, 2024** before the next Public Hearing #2 takes place.

Looking forward to hearing your answers to all the important questions in this email.

Kind regards,

*Pierre N. Attallah B.Sc.*  
*Kirkfield Park, Winnipeg, MB*  
*Ph: 204.885.9228*

***Bcc: Petition signatories, citizens, and other constituents***